CARES

	ification	
CARES EPD No.: 0001	Issue	
This is to verify that the Environmental Pr	oduct Declaration	
Provided by: PT Dexin Steel Indonesic		NOULAN PRODUCT DECLARATION
Is in accordance with the re EN 15804:2012 + A2:2019 and BRE Global PCR for T to EN 15804+A2. PN514 3	and ISO 14025:2010 ype III EPD of Construction Pr	
This declaration is for:		
Carbon Steel Wire Rod (P	rimary production route – Iron	n Ore)
Company address	5:	
JL Trans Sulawesi Desa Fatu Kec. Bahadopi Morowall, Sulawesi Tengah, Indonesia	fia	
Kec. Bahadopi Morowall,	fia DEXIN STEEL	
Kec. Bahadopi Morowall, Sulawesi Tengah,	fia DEXIN STEEL INDONESIA Ladin Camci	15 November 2024
Kec. Bahadopi Morowall, Sulawesi Tengah, Indonesia		Is November 2024 Date of this Issue
Kec. Bahadopi Morowall, Sulawesi Tengah, Indonesia LadinCamci	DEXIN STEEL INDONESIA	

The validity of this Environmental Product Declaration can be verified by contacting CARES on +44 (0)1732 450 000 or visiting CARES website <u>https://www.carescertification.com/certification-schemes/environmental-product-declarations</u>.

CARES, Pembroke House, 21 Pembroke Road, Sevenoaks, Kent TN13 1XR

Environmental Product Declaration EPD Number: CARES EPD 0001 General Information

EPD Programme Operator	CARES Pembroke House, 21 Pembroke Road, Sevenoaks, Kent, TN13 1XR UK www.carescertification.com
Applicable Product Category Rules	BRE Global Product Category Rules (PCR) for Type III EPD of Construction Products to EN 15804+A2. PN514 3.1
Commissioner of LCA study	CARES Pembroke House, 21 Pembroke Road, Sevenoaks, Kent, TN13 1XR UK www.carescertification.com
LCA consultant/Tool	CARES EPD Tool v2.8 SPHERA SOLUTIONS UK LIMITED The Innovation Centre Warwick Technology Park, Gallows Hill, Warwick, Warwickshire CV34 6UW UK www.sphera.com
Declared/Functional Unit	Declared Unit 1 tonne of carbon steel wire rod manufactured by the Blast Furnace/Basic Oxygen Furnace (BF/BOF) production route
Applicability/Coverage	Manufacturer-specific product produced at a single plant of one manufacturer
EPD Type	Cradle to Gate with Modules C1-C4 and Module D
Background database	LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation (Sphera 2023.1)

Demonstration of Verification

CEN standard EN 15804 serves as the core PCR $^{\rm a}$

Independent verification of the declaration and data according to EN ISO 14025:2010

internal

(Where appropriate ^b) Third party verifier: Dr Jane Anderson

a: Product category rules

b: Optional for business-to-business communication; mandatory for business-to-consumer communication (see EN ISO 14025:2010, 9.4)



Comparability

Environmental product declarations from different programmes may not be comparable if not compliant with EN 15804:2012+A2:2019. Comparability is further dependent on the specific product category rules, system boundaries and allocations, and background data sources. See Clause 5.3 of EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 for further guidance

Information modules covered

44	Pr	oduct Sta	age		ruction age			ι	Jse Sta	ige			E	ind-of-l	life Stag	je	Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary
	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
	Raw materials supply	Transport	Manufacturing	Transport to site	Construction – Installation	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	Operational energy use	Operational water use	Deconstruction demolition	Transport	Waste processing	Disposal	Reuse, Recovery and/or Recycling potential
	~	1	~	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	~	✓

Note: Checks indicate the Information Modules Declared, ND indicates Not Declared.

Manufacturing site

PT Dexin Steel Indonesia JL Trans Sulawesi Desa Fatufia Kec. Bahadopi Morowall, Sulawesi Tengah, Indonesia

Construction Product:

Product Description

Carbon Steel Feedstock in coils is non-alloy or low-alloy steel product. Feedstock Coil (according to product standards listed in Summary, Comments and Additional Information) that are manufactured via the blast furnace/basic oxygen furnace route (BF/BOF), followed by hot rolling. These are used to provide tensile strength in reinforced concrete building elements.

Carbon steel feedstock coil is produced as raw material for further processing to produce carbon steel bars or coils for direct use in reinforcing concrete, or as wire for further processing to produce other concrete reinforcement products to BS 4449 or BS 4482 and/or other reinforcing steel standards.

The declared unit is 1 tonne of carbon steel wire rod manufactured from the blast furnace/basic oxygen furnace (BF/BOF) production route as used in a variety of industrial applications.

Technical Information

Property	Value, Unit
Production route	BF-BOF
Density	7850 kg/m ³
Modulus of elasticity	200000 N/mm ²
Weldability (C _{eq})	max 0.42 %
Yield strength (as per BS 4482:2005)	min 250 N/mm ²
Tensile strength (as per BS 4482:2005)	min 287.5 N/mm ² (Tensile strength/Yield Strength \ge 1.15)
Agt (% total elongation at maximum force as per BS 4482:2005)	min 5 %
Bend test (as per BS 4482:2005)	Pass
Recycled content (as per ISO 14021:2016/Amd:2021)	2.0 %

Technical Information details are as per relevant product standards listed in References section

Main Product Contents


Material/Chemical Input	%
Fe	97
C, Mn, Si, V, Ni, Cu, Cr, Mo and others	3

Manufacturing Process

Integrated steelworks are complicated operations comprising multiple production processes as described below.

- Boilers/CHP: generates the steam used on site and some of the electricity (the remainder is sourced from the Indonesia national grid). This process also supplies the blast air used in the blast furnace.
- Air separation unit: generates the gases and compressed air used in the production process (e.g. nitrogen, oxygen, hydrogen, argon, etc.).
- Lime plant: converts limestone and dolomite into lime/dololime for use in the basic oxygen furnace and sinter plant.
- Coke ovens: converts coking coal into coke that is used as a reducing agent in the blast furnace and as a fuel in the sinter plant. Various co-products are generated from this process including coke oven gas (used as a fuel elsewhere on site), benzene, ammonium sulphate, sulphuric acid and tar.
- Sinter plant: agglomerates iron ore fines with other materials (e.g. lime and limestone) to form nodules of iron rich material that are suitable for charging into the blast furnace.
- Blast furnace: ferrous rich materials (sinter, iron ore, pellets and steel scrap), slag-forming materials (such as limestone), reducing agents (such as coke) and fuels (such as blast furnace gas and natural gas) with process gases and blast air generates molten iron ("hot metal") and slag and blast furnace gas (which is used as fuel in various site operations). The hot metal also undergoes desulphurisation to remove this unwanted element from the product.
- Steelmaking: covers the basic oxygen furnace (BOF) and secondary steelmaking steps in which the carbon content of the hot metal is reduced, and alloying materials are added to give the desired physical properties to the finished steel, which are formed into billets. BOF gas is also generated and is used as a fuel in various site operations). Slags are also generated from these processes, some of which are recycled in the sinter plant.
- Rolling mills: Converts the steel billets into the final products from the steel mill such as reinforcing bars, wire rod and steel profiles. Offcuts, mill scale, etc. are recycled within the steelworks.

Process flow diagram



Integrated steelmaking route

Construction Installation

Processing and proper use of reinforcing steel products depends on the application and should be made in accordance with generally accepted practices, standards and manufacturing recommendations.

During transport and storage of reinforcing steel products the usual requirement for securing loads is to be observed..

Use Information

The composition of the reinforcing steel products does not change during use.

Reinforcing steel products do not cause adverse health effects under normal conditions of use.

No risks to the environment and living organisms are known to result from the mechanical destruction of the reinforcing steel product itself.

End of Life

Reinforcing steel products are not reused at end of life but can be recycled to the same (or higher/lower) quality of steel depending upon the metallurgy and processing of the recycling route.

It is a high value resource, so efforts are made to recycle steel scrap rather than disposing of it at EoL. A recycling rate of 95% is typical for reinforcing steel products



Life Cycle Assessment Calculation Rules

Declared unit description

The declared unit is 1 tonne of carbon steel wire rod manufactured by the blast furnace/basic oxygen furnace (BF/BOF) production route


System boundary

The system boundary of the EPD follows the modular design defined by EN 15804+A2. Type of this EPD is cradle to gate – with modules A1 to A3, modules C1-C4 and module D.

Impacts and aspects related to losses/wastage such as production, transport and waste processing and end-of-life stage of lost waste products and materials are considered in the modules in which the losses/wastage occur.

Once steel scrap has been collected for recycling it is considered to have reached the end of waste state.

Overview of Product System for Carbon Steel Wire Rod



Data sources and quality

The selection of data and the data quality requirements have been provided according to the requirements of BS EN 15941:2024.

Manufacturing data of the period 01/01/2023 – 31/12/2023 has been provided by PT Dexin Steel Indonesia operating on the geographical area noted in Manufacturing Site. A brief description of technology and inputs for the product is given in Manufacturing Process and in simplified Process Flow Diagram.

The primary data collection was thorough, considering all relevant flows and these data were verified during the audit conducted by CARES in June 2024, including also the verification of mass balance, to ensure that data for all the inputs and outputs for the process over the period of data collection have been collected, and that the unit process data will comply with the cut-off rules of EN 15804. The EPD covers end-of-life in United Kingdom.

Background data are consistently sourced from the LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation (Sphera 2023.1).

The selection of the background data for electricity generation is in line with the BRE Global PCR PN514 3.1 for Type III EPD of Construction Products to EN 15804+A2. Country or region-specific power grid mixes are selected from LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation (Sphera 2023.1). Thus, consumption grid mix of Indonesia has been selected to suit specific manufacturing location. The emission factor of carbon footprint of the applied consumption grid mix of Indonesia in 0,976 kg CO₂ eq/kWh.

There wasn't any data from different LCI/LCA databases used considering that the overall consistency of the study has not been adversely affected.

Schemes applied for data quality assessment was as per EN 15804:2012+A2:2019, Annex E, Table E.1 — Data quality level and criteria of the UN Environment Global Guidance on LCA database development.

Geographical Representativeness	: Good
Technical Representativeness	: Very Good
Time Representativeness	: Very Good

Allocation

Steel production (modules A1-A3) is a complex process and generates many co-products including:

- Slags and sludges from the blast furnace, basic oxygen furnace and secondary steelmaking processes
- Energy rich gases from the coke ovens, blast furnace, basic oxygen furnace, secondary steelmaking processes
- Dusts and sludges from the blast furnace, basic oxygen furnace and secondary steelmaking, sintering, pelletising and lime production processes
- Coke breeze from the coke ovens
- Scrap iron and steel from the blast furnace, basic oxygen furnace, secondary steelmaking and rolling mill processes
- Mill scale from the basic oxygen furnace, secondary steelmaking and rolling mill processes

Most of these co-products are recycled within the steel mill itself and these internal loops have been included in the LCA model. The balance of inputs and outputs is not always closed and where excess material is generated no credits are modelled in module D for material leaving the system following EN 15804+A2 (section 6.3.4.2). Similarly, where recycling occurs outside the steelworks, transport to the recycler is included, but no credits are awarded for secondary material leaving the system boundary. Instead, all benefits and loads are cut off after the transport step. This cut-off approach is more conservative than EN 15804 section 6.3.5.2, which states that "Flows leaving the system at the end-of-waste boundary of the product stage (A1-A3) shall be allocated as co-products (see 6.4.3.2)."

The value of the steel product far exceeds the value of the cut off secondary material streams, meaning that coproduct allocation would typically allocate a very large share (approaching 100%) to the main product and a very low share (approaching 0%) to the co-products. As such, the difference in results between the cut-off and coproduct allocation approaches will be small.

There are the following exceptions to this approach:

- Blast furnace slag (BF slag) this is not recycled internally but is generally sold for use in concrete, road building, etc. Impacts from the steel production process are allocated to the steel and BF slag co-products based on their economic value. CARES estimates that the value of reinforcing steel products is around \$670/tonne in 2023.
- Specifying a price for BF slag is very difficult as it is not traded openly. Prices agreed between steel producers and users of the slag are not made public and can vary considerably depending on quality, quantity, demand, contract period, etc. CARES estimates as per its market intelligence that the value of GGBFS products is around \$60/tonne in 2023.
- Coke oven products as well as coke and coke breeze the coking process generates tar, ammonium sulphate, sulphuric acid, benzene and polymers of benzene separation. Due to commercial sensitivity, there is no price information available on these co-products. For this reason, mass-based allocation has been applied for co-products from this process. We acknowledge that this does not fully conform to the requirements of the PCR but feel that this approach is preferable to allocating all the impacts to the coke and coke breeze when some of the co-products are likely to have relatively high values (coke and coke breeze combined account for >99% of the output of this process).
- Energy rich gases any excess gas generated that is not used within the steelworks is combusted to generate electricity and is sold externally. In the model this is looped back to satisfy some of the electricity demand of the steelworks.
- Process gases Oxygen, Nitrogen, Argon and other gases produced from the on-site air separation unit are all consumed on site (no exports beyond A1-A3 boundary). For the particular production route modelled, impacts are allocated to the consumed gases based on volume.
- Rolling mill products it was not possible to disaggregate data between products from rolling mill operations. Therefore, impacts are allocated to final products from the integrated mill (including reinforcing steel bar, wire rod) based on mass.
- Pre-consumer steel scrap is produced as co-product from the steel manufacturing processes. This coproduct is internally recycled.
- Post-consumer scrap is an input to steelmaking processes and is assumed to be free of burdens as once steel scrap has been collected for recycling it is considered to have reached the end of waste state. Hence, only transport impacts associated with importing the scrap are considered.

Allocation of background data (energy and materials) taken from the LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation (Sphera 2023.1).

All impacts associated with solid and liquid waste disposal are allocated to the steel products. This includes transport and landfill or wastewater treatment processes (modules A & C).

Cut-off criteria

For the processes within the system boundary, all available energy and material flow data have been included in the model. In cases where no matching life cycle inventories are available to represent a flow, proxy data have been applied based on conservative assumptions regarding environmental impacts. Burdens relating to personnel, infrastructure, and production equipment not directly consumed in the process are excluded from the system boundary. As no material or energy flows were knowingly omitted, the requirements of the PCR have been met (BRE Global PCR PN514 3.1).

The mass of steel wire or strap used for binding the product coil is less than 1 % of the total mass of the product.

LCA Results

(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

			GWP- total	GWP- fossil	GWP- biogenic	GWP- Iuluc	ODP	AP	EP- freshwate
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		kg CO ₂ eq	kg CO ₂ eq	kg CO ₂ eq	kg CO ₂ eq	kg CFC11	mol H+ eq	kg P ec
	Raw material	Al	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	eq AGG	AGG	AGG
	Transport	A2	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	2.34E+03	2.34E+03	-1.42E+00	1.27E+00	9.11E-10	3.40E+00	1.44E-03
Construction process	Transport	A4	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
stage	Construction	A5	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Use	B1	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Maintenance	B2	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Repair	B3	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Replacement	B4	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
Use stage	Refurbishment	B5	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Operational energy use	B6	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Operational water Use	B7	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
%95 Recycling / %5 Lo						X	_		
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.13E+00	2.13E+00	2.18E-03	4.06E-05	1.64E-13	3.35E-03	4.12E-07
End of life	Transport	C2	2.20E-01	2.22E-01	-3.27E-03	2.05E-03	1.93E-14	2.66E-04	8.06E-07
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	7.17E-01	7.40E-01	-2.55E-02	2.33E-03	1.91E-12	5.33E-03	1.51E-06
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-2.05E+03	-2.05E+03	2.68E+00	-4.75E-01	6.23E-09	-4.71E+00	2.75E-06
100% Landfill Scenario	, ,							/	
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.13E+00	2.13E+00	2.18E-03	4.06E-05	1.64E-13	3.35E-03	4.12E-07
End of life	Transport	C2	4.41E+00	4.43E+00	-6.54E-02	4.09E-02	3.87E-13	5.32E-03	1.61E-05
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.43E+01	1.48E+01	-5.10E-01	4.67E-02	3.82E-11	1.07E-01	3.02E-05
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-2.07E+02	-2.07E+02	2.64E-01	-4.38E-02	6.32E-10	-4.76E-01	2.00E-06
100% Recycling Scene	ario	1							
	Deconstruction, demolition	Cl	2.13E+00	2.13E+00	2.18E-03	4.06E-05	1.64E-13	3.35E-03	4.12E-07
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and	Reuse, recovery,				2.80E+00				

GWP-total = Global warming potential, total;

GWP-fossil = Global warming potential, fossil; GWP-biogenic = Global warming potential, biogenic;

GWP-luluc = Global warming potential, land use and land use change;

ODP = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer; AP = Acidification potential, accumulated exceedance; and

EP-freshwater = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater end compartment



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Life Cycle Stage	Impact Catagony		EP- marine	EP- terrestrial	POCP	ADP- mineral & metals	ADP-fossil	WDP
Life Cycle Sidge	Impact Category	kg N eq	mol N eq	kg NMVOC eq	kg Sb eq	MJ, net calorific value	m ³ world eq deprived	
	Raw material supply	A1	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG
	Transport	A2	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	6.81E-01	7.44E+00	1.99E+00	7.34E-05	2.29E+04	1.30E+02
Construction process	Transport	A4	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
stage	Construction	A5	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Use	B1	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Maintenance	B2	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Repair	B3	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
Use stage	Replacement	B4	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
in thigh	Refurbishment	B5	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Operational energy use	B6	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Operational water use	B7	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
%95 Recycling / %5 Lo	indfill Scenario							1
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.16E-03	1.28E-02	3.51E-03	2.15E-08	2.84E+01	5.48E-03
End of life	Transport	C2	8.97E-05	1.09E-03	2.27E-04	1.44E-08	3.00E+00	2.55E-03
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.38E-03	1.51E-02	4.15E-03	3.47E-08	1.00E+01	8.25E-02
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-1.12E+00	-1.21E+01	-3.76E+00	-1.92E-05	-1.51E+04	-2.97E+01
100% Landfill Scenario	$ / \rangle$						1	
X/	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.16E-03	1.28E-02	3.51E-03	2.15E-08	2.84E+01	5.48E-03
End of life	Transport	C2	1.79E-03	2.18E-02	4.55E-03	2.87E-07	6.01E+01	5.09E-02
LING OF INE	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	2.75E-02	3.03E-01	8.31E-02	6.94E-07	2.00E+02	1.65E+00
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-1.14E-01	-1.23E+00	-3.81E-01	-1.92E-06	-1.52E+03	-3.00E+00
100% Recycling Scene	ario	1						
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.16E-03	1.28E-02	3.51E-03	2.15E-08	2.84E+01	5.48E-03
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-1.18E+00	-1.27E+01	-3.94E+00	-2.01E-05	-1.58E+04	-3.11E+01

ADP-mineral&metals = Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources;

ADP-fossil = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer; WDP = Water (user) deprivation potential, deprivation-weighted water consumption.

The results of the three environmental impact indicators above shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experienced with these indicators.

EP-marine = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching marine end compartment;

EP-terrestrial = Eutrophication potential, accumulated exceedance; POCP = Formation potential of tropospheric ozone; PM = Particulate matter

PM = Particulate matter.



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated) Parameters describing environmental impacts

Life Cycle Stage	Impact Catego	orv	PM	IRP	ETP-fw	HTP-c	HTP-nc	SQP
Life Cycle Sidge	impaci calego	Ur y	disease incidence	kBq U ²³⁵ eq	CTUe	CTUh	CTUh	dimensionles
	Raw material supply	A1	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG
	Transport	A2	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	4.20E-05	1.15E+01	3.75E+03	5.81E-08	1.89E-06	1.51E+03
Construction process	Transport	A4	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
stage	Construction	A5	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Use	B1	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Maintenance	B2	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Repair	B3	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Replacement	B4	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
Use stage	Refurbishment	B5	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Operational energy use	B6	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Operational water Use	B7	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
%95 Recycling / %5 La	ndfill Scenario				1 V	1		
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.88E-08	4.64E-03	2.01E+01	4.86E-10	1.17E-08	9.45E-02
End of life	Transport	C2	1.79E-09	5.62E-04	2.12E+00	4.27E-11	1.88E-09	1.25E+00
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	6.55E-08	1.31E-02	6.29E+00	8.40E-10	8.87E-08	2.43E+00
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-6.91E-05	3.09E+01	-2.00E+03	-3.26E-06	2.90E-06	1.73E+03
100% Landfill Scenario						17	/	
X	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.88E-08	4.64E-03	2.01E+01	4.86E-10	1.17E-08	9.45E-02
End of life	Transport	C2	3.59E-08	1.12E-02	4.23E+01	8.53E-10	3.77E-08	2.51E+01
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.31E-06	2.63E-01	1.26E+02	1.68E-08	1.77E-06	4.86E+01
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-7.00E-06	3.14E+00	-1.98E+02	-3.30E-07	2.98E-07	1.78E+02
100% Recycling Scene	irio	1		0-				
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.88E-08	4.64E-03	2.01E+01	4.86E-10	1.17E-08	9.45E-02
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-7.24E-05	3.24E+01	-2.09E+03	-3.41E-06	3.03E-06	1.81E+03

IRP = Potential human exposure efficiency relative to U235; This impact category deals mainly with the eventual impact of low dose ionizing radiation on human health of the nuclear fuel cycle. It does not consider effects due to possible nuclear accidents, occupational exposure nor due to radioactive waste disposal in underground facilities. Potential ionizing radiation from the soil, from radon and from some construction materials is also not measured by this indicator. HTP-nc = Potential comparative toxic unit for humans; and ETP-fw = Potential comparative toxic unit for ecosystems;

HTP-c = Potential comparative toxic unit for humans;

SQP = Potential soil quality index.

The results of the four environmental impact indicators above shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experienced with these indicators.



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

			PERE	PERM	PERT	PENRE	PENRM	PENRT
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ
	Raw material supply	A1	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG
	Transport	A2	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	7.26E+02	0	7.26E+02	2.30E+04	0	2.30E+04
Construction process	Transport	A4	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
stage	Construction	A5	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Use	B1	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Maintenance	B2	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Repair	B3	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Replacement	B4	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
Use stage	Refurbishment	B5	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Operational energy use	B6	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Operational water	B7	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
%95 Recycling / %5 La	ndfill Scenario	1		7~				
/	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.25E-01	0	1.25E-01	2.84E+01	0	2.84E+0
End of life	Transport	C2	2.13E-01	0	2.13E-01	3.01E+00	0	3.01E+00
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.63E+00	0	1.63E+00	1.00E+01	0	1.00E+0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	2.65E+03	0	2.65E+03	-1.53E+04	0	-1.53E+0
100% Landfill Scenario	171			\sim	•	IV		
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.25E-01	0	1.25E-01	2.84E+01	0	2.84E+0
End of life	Transport	C2	4.25E+00	0	4.25E+00	6.02E+01	0	6.02E+0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	3.26E+01	0	3.26E+01	2.00E+02	0	2.00E+02
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	2.70E+02	0	2.70E+02	-1.54E+03	0	-1.54E+0
100% Recycling Scena	rio	~ 1						1
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.25E-01	0	1.25E-01	2.84E+01	0	2.84E+0
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	2.78E+03	0	2.78E+03	-1.60E+04	0	-1.60E+0

PERE = Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy used as raw materials;

PERM = Use of renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;

PENRE = Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding nonrenewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRM = Use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;

PERT = Total use of renewable primary energy resources;

PENRT = Total use of non-renewable primary energy resource

Expiry Date: 15 November 2024 ©CARES 2024



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

			SM	RSF	NRSF	FW	
ife Cycle Stage	Impact Category	-	kg	MJ net calorific value	MJ net calorific value	m ³	
	Raw material supply	A1	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	
	Transport	A2	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	6.63E+01	0	0	4.07E+00	
Construction process	Transport	A4	MND	MND	MND	MND	
stage	Construction	A5	MND	MND	MND	MND	
	Use	B1	MND	MND	MND	MND	
	Maintenance	B2	MND	MND	MND	MND	
	Repair	B3	MND	MND	MND	MND	
Jse stage	Replacement	B4	MND	MND	MND	MND	
	Refurbishment	B5	MND	MND	MND	MND	
	Operational energy use	B6	MND	MND	MND	MND	
	Operational water	B7	MND	MND	MND	MND	
%95 Recycling / %5 Lo		20	A D	\sim			
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	2.03E-04	
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	2.34E-04	
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	2.53E-03	
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	-1.31E+00	
100% Landfill Scenario	b		$\sim 10^{-2}$	<	TY /		
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	2.03E-04	
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	4.69E-03	
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0.00E+00	
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	5.05E-02	
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	-1.32E-01	
100% Recycling Scena		<					
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	2.03E-04	
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	-1.37E+00	

SM = Use of secondary material;

RSF = Use of renewable secondary fuels;

NRSF = Use of non-renewable secondary fuels; FW = Net use of fresh water

(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Life Original Channel			HWD	NHWD	RWD
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		kg	kg	kg
	Raw material supply	A1	AGG	AGG	AGG
	Transport	A2	AGG	AGG	AGG
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	AGG	AGG	AGG
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	2.25E-08	1.58E+02	1.77E-02
Construction	Transport	A4	MND	MND	MND
process stage	Construction	A5	MND	MND	MND
	Use	B1	MND	MND	MND
	Maintenance	B2	MND	MND	MND
	Repair	B3	MND	MND	MND
Use stage	Replacement	B4	MND	MND	MND
oso siago	Refurbishment	B5	MND	MND	MND
	Operational energy use	B6	MND	MND	MND
	Operational water use	B7	MND	MND	MND
%95 Recycling / %5 L	andfill Scenario	N			
	Deconstruction,		0.105.11	5.005.00	0.005.05
End of life	demolition	C1	8.19E-11	5.88E-03	3.28E-05
	Transport	C2	1.11E-11	4.34E-04	3.89E-06
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0
<u></u>	Disposal	C4	2.18E-10	5.00E+01	1.14E-04
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-3.78E-08	-3.14E+01	2.79E-01
100% Landfill Scenari	0				
X	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	8.19E-11	5.88E-03	3.28E-05
End of life	Transport	C2	2.23E-10	8.68E-03	7.79E-05
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	4.36E-09	1.00E+03	2.28E-03
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-3.81E-09	-3.19E+00	2.83E-02
100% Recycling Scen	ario	\sim	T		
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	8.19E-11	5.88E-03	3.28E-05
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-3.96E-08	-3.29E+01	2.93E-01

HWD = Hazardous waste disposed;

NHWD = Non-hazardous waste disposed;

RWD = Radioactive waste disposed



(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		CRU	MFR	MER	EE	Biogenic carbon (product)	Biogenic carbon (packaging
			kg	kg	kg	MJ per energy carrier	kg C AGG AGG AGG 0 MND MND MND MND MND MND MND MND MND 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	kg C
	Raw material supply	A1	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG
Product stage	Transport	A2	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG
	Manufacturing	A3	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG	AGG
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Construction	A5	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Use	B1	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Maintenance	B2	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Repair	ВЗ	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
Jse stage	Replacement	B4	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
Die sluge	Refurbishment	B5	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Operational energy use	B6	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
	Operational water use	B7	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND
%95 Recycling / %5 La		10		~/	1		1	
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	9.50E+02	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0
100% Landfill Scenario				\sim		IV	1	
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and oads beyond the ystem boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0
100% Recycling Scena	rio	\sim	2		/			
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	1.00E+03	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and bads beyond the ystem boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0

CRU = Components for reuse;

MFR = Materials for recycling

MER = Materials for energy recovery; EE = Exported Energy



Scenarios and additional technical information

Scenario	Parameter	Units	Results				
Modules C1 to C4 End of life	The end-of-life stage starts when the construction product is replaced, dismantled or deconstructed from the building or construction works and does not provide any further function. The recovered steel is transported for recycling which a small portion is assumed to be unrecoverable and remains in the rubble which is sent to landfill. 95% of the reinforcing steel is assumed to be recycled and 5% is sent to landfill [STEELCONSTRUCTION.INFO 2012]. Once steel scrap is generated through the deconstruction activities on the demolition site it is considered to have reached the "end of waste" state. No further processing is required so there are no impacts associated with this module Hence no impacts are reported in module C3.						
	Waste for recycling - Recovered steel from crushed concrete	%	95				
	Waste for energy recovery		-				
	Waste for final disposal - Unrecoverable steel lost in crushed concrete and sent to landfill		5				
	Portion of energy assigned to rebar from energy required to demolish building, per tonne		24				
	Transport to waste processing by Truck - Fuel consumption		1.56				
	Transport to waste processing by Truck – Distance		463				
	Transport to waste processing by Truck – Capacity utilisation		85				
	Transport to waste processing by Truck – Density of Product		7850				
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship - Fuel consumption	litre/km	0.0041				
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship - Distance	km	158				
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship – Capacity utilisation	%	50				
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship – Density of Product	kg/m ³	7850				
Module D	It is assumed that 95% of the steel used in the structure is recovered for recycling, while the remainder is landfille Module D accounts for the environmental benefits and loads resulting from net steel scrap that is used for recycling end of life. The balance between total scrap arisings recycled from installation and end of life and scrap consume by the manufacturing process (internally sourced scrap is not included in this calculation). These benefits and loads of calculated by including the burdens of recycling and the benefit of avoided primary production. A large amount of net scrap is generated over the life cycle as the BF/BOF production route is primarily from virg sources and there is a very high end of life recycling rate for this product. Benefits and loads associated with this scra are calculated by including the burdens of recycling process and accounting for the avoided primary production. The resulting scrap credit/burden is calculated based on the global "value of scrap" approach (/worldsteel 2011).						
	Recycled Content	kg	20				
	Re-used Content	kg	0				
	Recovered for recycling	kg	920				
	Recovered for re-use		0				
	Recovered for energy	kg	0				



Summary, comments and additional information

Interpretation

The production stage (A1-A3) is the most important module for climate change, eutrophication freshwater, resource use (mineral and metals) and resource use (energy carriers) as well as water scarcity

Module D presents a significant credit in all impact categories, except for ODP. Impacts from other life cycle stages are negligible in comparison

References

BSI. Sustainability of construction works – Environmental product declarations – Core rules for the product category of construction products. BS EN 15804:2012+A2:2019. London, BSI, 2019.

BSI. Environmental labels and declarations. Self-declared environmental claims (Type II environmental labelling). BS EN ISO 14021:2016+A1:2021. London, BSI, 2022

BSI. Environmental labels and declarations – Type III Environmental declarations – Principles and procedures. BS EN ISO 14025:2010 (exactly identical to ISO 14025:2006). London, BSI, 2010.

BSI. Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Principles and framework. BS EN ISO BS EN ISO 14040:2006+A1:2020. London, BSI, 2020.

BSI. Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – requirements and guidelines. BS EN ISO 14044:2006+A2:2020. London, BSI, 2020.

BSI. Sustainability of construction works. Data quality for environmental assessment of products and construction work. Selection and use of data. BS EN 15941:2024. London, 2024.

BSI. Sustainability of construction works. Environmental product declarations. Communication format business-tobusiness. BS EN 15942:2021. London, 2021.

BSI. Eurocode. Basis of structural and geotechnical design. BS EN 1990:2023. London, 2023.

Demolition Energy Analysis of Office Building Structural Systems, Athena Sustainable Materials Institute, 1997

The Concrete Society, Design working life (concrete.org.uk)

LCA FE (GaBi) Software System and Database for Life Cycle Engineering, Sphera Solution GmbH, Leinfelden-Echterdingen

LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation for the LCA FE Software System and Database for Life Cycle Engineering, version 2023.1, Sphera Solution GmbH, Leinfelden-Echterdingen, https://www.LCA FE (GaBi)-software.com/databases/LCA FE (GaBi)-databases/

International Energy Agency, Energy Statistics 2013. http://www.iea.org

Kreißig, J. und J. Kümmel (1999): Baustoff-Ökobilanzen. Wirkungsabschätzung und Auswertung in der Steine-Erden-Industrie. Hrsg. Bundesverband Baustoffe Steine + Erden e.V.

U.S. Geological Survey, Mineral Commodity Summaries, Iron and Steel Slag, January 2014

SteelConstruction.info; The recycling and reuse survey, 2012 http://www.steelconstruction.info/The_recycling_and_reuse_survey



Sustainability of construction works - Environmental product declarations - Methodology for selection and use of generic data; German version CEN/TR 15941

REG<mark>ULATION (EU)</mark> No 305/2011 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 9 March 2011 laying down harmonised conditions for the marketing of construction products and repealing Council Directive 89/106/EEC

WRAP (2017). WRAP (Waste & Resources Action Programme) Net Waste Tool

worldsteel Association - Life cycle inventory methodology report for steel products, 2017

CARES SRC Steel for the reinforcement of concrete scheme Appendix 5 - Quality and operations assessment schedule for the production of billets and wire rod for further processing into carbon steel bar, coil or rod for the reinforcement of concrete, including inspection and testing requirements.

CARES SRC Steel for the Reinforcement of Concrete Scheme. Appendix 1 – Quality and operations assessment schedule for carbon steel bars for the reinforcement of concrete including inspection and testing requirements

SNI 53:2019 Low carbon steel wire rods

Chemical Compositions of SAE Carbon Steels J403:2014

MS ISO 16120-2:2020 Non-alloy steel wire rod for conversion to wire - Part 2: Specific requirements for general purpose wire rod

BS 4482:2005+A1 Steel Wire for the Reinforcement of Concrete Products – Specification

BS 4449:2005+A3:2016 Steel for the reinforcement of concrete. Weldable reinforcing steel. Bar, coil and decoiled product. Specification.

CS2:2012 - Steel Reinforcing Bars for the Reinforcement of Concrete

SS 560:2010 - Steel for the reinforcement of concrete - Weldable reinforcing steel - Bar, coil and decoiled product.

ISO 6935-2:2019 - Steel for the reinforcement of concrete - Part 2: Ribbed bars.